

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 June 2018 12:37 PM  
**To:** EPD, Customer Services  
**Subject:** Objection to EIS201700053 Fyshwick Materials Recovery Facility with transfer of waste to Woodlawn

**Categories:** Green Category

Good afternoon,

I am a daily commuter on Ipswich Street in Fyshwick. I am deeply concerned that this waste facility of such major proportions, proposed for 16 Ipswich Street, could ever have come under consideration and indeed facilitated by government through ministerial approval of a Direct Sale of unleased Territory land which is a buffer to the public rail corridor, a site in the IZ2-Mixed use industrial, where rail use is prohibited.

The proponent, CRS, in response to the ACTPLA Scoping Document, has submitted a greatly flawed document and I would draw attention to the grossly deficient Appendix E (Traffic Assesssment-1) and omitted entirely, any Air Quality Report as essential to this process and specified in the ACTPLA Scoping Document. CRS failed to provide any table that linked the requirements of the Scoping Document to responses in the draft EIS, also mandatory. This latter omission was a disadvantage to respondents, causing confusion and being time consuming.

I object to the above development on the grounds that the additional traffic to be introduced to Fyshwick, particularly Ipswich Street, is unacceptable. I offer three main reasons.

1. The number of trucks is not adequately accounted for in the Draft EIS.
2. The number of trucks will have a greater impact than acknowledged.
3. There was no accompanying assessment of the increased risk of traffic accidents because of the additional truck movements in this street

Regards

[REDACTED]  
27 June 2018