

From: 
To: [EPD, Customer Services](#)
Subject: Submission re: BLOCK 9 & BLOCK 11 SECTION 8 Fyshwick DRAFT EIS PROPOSAL NUMBER: 201700053
Date: Tuesday, 12 June 2018 8:46:53 PM

Good evening,

I submit my concerns regarding proposed Fyshwick waste transfer station under proposal 201700053.

As a resident in the inner south - specifically Narrabundah, I strongly oppose the proposed development as it is incompatible with current land use now and into the future, on the following grounds:

- fugitive waste emissions from recycled materials, specifically putrefaction impacts on human health;
- risk to waste containment and impact on soil and nearby waterways and wildlife, including Jerrabomberra wetlands;
- increased odour similar to existing levels at Mugga Way tip;
- impact of increased bird life in the area and risk to light and commercial aircraft strikes;
- a severe increase in heavy vehicle traffic with impact on noise, congestion, emissions;

I also express concerns over inadequate consultation and the need for an independent assessment that takes account of all economic, social and environmental impacts. It appears the project proponent has not put forward alternate options, including other possible sites that would have an appropriate buffer zone and acceptable impact that, on balance, would manage competing land use interests, risks to the environment and be more appropriate in terms of land use planning. On these points I wish to highlight The current location of Mugga way tip, which on balance has an appropriate urban buffer.

I am further sceptical of the proponent's business and marketing activities- Is the proponent forum shopping given the stringent regulatory assessments in our neighboring jurisdiction? It would be worthwhile corroborating information with the NSW regulator on the proponent's dealings.

Put simple, the proposed site is incompatible with surrounding land use, would stifle urban development of the Eastlake basin, put at risk existing and proposed wetland conservation efforts, have an adverse impact on local business- in particular tourism, and if approved would set a dangerous precedent for an incinerator- putting further risk on the environment and human health.

On balance the proposal only serves to benefit the proponent from an economic perspective with no regard to social and environmental impacts to current and future residents and businesses.

Yours Sincerely,



Sent from my iPhone