

From: [REDACTED]
To: [EPD, Customer Services](#)
Subject: SUBMISSION AGAINST
Date: Monday, 25 June 2018 3:27:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.jpg](#)

Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Materials Recovery Facility Fyshwick, Section 8,
Blocks 9 & 11
Capital Recycling Solutions
Application Number 201700053

I strongly oppose approval of the materials recovery facility being located on blocks 9 & 11 section 8 Fyshwick.

After giving this matter plenty of thought, I can find no justification for the plant being located on this site.

Fyshwick is a Canberra suburb frequented by so many for consumer purposes – whether it be cars, furniture, whitegoods, flooring, curtains, tools, clothes and auctions the list goes on. Many businesses have invested in Fyshwick because of the Government's support bulky goods retailing. As a result complimentary businesses in Fyshwick include bakers, cafes, accountants, lawyers, architects, car washes and the list goes on. Fyshwick is a destination suburb for many Canberran's and the addition of the central waste facility for all of Canberra's domestic waste would ruin Fyshwick as it is today.

My business located at [REDACTED] Wiluna Street Fyshwick has been established here and we have invested here because of the Government legislation for Fyshwick was to "Meet the need for a mix of lower rent bulky goods retailing" – we invested in the property and area based on this being the best location for our business. We currently sell over 2000 items weekly and have hundreds of Canberrans picking up items, inspecting antiques and frequenting Wiluna Street. The street is already busy and would not cope with over 200 garbage trucks per day which would be required to travel down Wiluna Street to access the Lithgow St entry to the proposed facility. We borrowed significantly to invest in the property which undoubtedly would lose significant value if the waste facility is located here. We would lose our property our business (over 20 of our staff would become redundant) and livelihood. I would be seeking compensation.

Further to this one of the units in Wiluna Street has a purpose clause for a residence. Our resident surely cannot be expected to live within 50 metres of such a facility.

We also note the adverse effect this proposal would have on other developments like Eastlake's urban renewal and the development and brewery at Dairy Flat Road.

Given its proximity to the city and being surrounded by well established inner residential suburbs, it is inevitable that Fyshwick has grown to be one of the few places in Canberra that has embraced diversity as a light industrial, commercial, cultural, entertainment and

even residential precinct. That mix gives Fyshwick special appeal.

Why a waste facility would be allowed as its centre piece is beyond comprehension. The central site is totally incompatible with Fyshwick's character and quite contrary to the more civilised plans for its future.

On so many levels (traffic, health risks, vermin, odour, noise, fire risk etc) the location of the waste recovery plant will compromise the prosperity of Fyshwick and seriously detract from its general amenity and that of the surrounding neighbourhood.

The EIS fails to make that clear. For those of us who visit Fyshwick regularly the 230 garbage truck movements into and another 230 out of Fyshwick daily would completely detract from doing business. We already know that the intersections of Canberra Avenue and Geelong Street, Monaro Highway and Newcastle Street and Canberra Avenue and Ipswich Street are extremely busy during business hours. We also note the erroneous traffic report that averages the truck movements from 6am to 12 midnight (15 per hour). We know via the contracts to pick up domestic waste that the garbage trucks cannot pick up from the suburbs until 7am – they then converge to waste facility from 10am to 1pm and then again from 3pm to 5pm...so the majority of movements will be during busy Fyshwick trading hours (25-30 large garbage trucks per hour in and out)

There must surely be other more suitable locations (eg Hume?) where the effects of an operation of the kind proposed would not be as destructive.

For all the benefits which I believe a proposal of this kind would have, I urge you to seriously consider alternative sites that would give both the business model and the future of Fyshwick a better chance of survival.

