

**From:**   
**To:** [EPD, Customer Services](#)  
**Subject:** Submission  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 June 2018 12:21:53 PM

---

*"To whomever it may concern,*

*I own an upper floor apartment in a high rise in Griffith next to Kingston. I strongly object to draft EIS by CRS for a waste transfer station at the old Shell Depot site in Fyshwick and I most vehemently object to their underlying plans for an incinerator at the same site.*

*I fail to see what possible benefit to the ACT community there could be in a private Fyshwick waste transfer station with ACT waste to be transported by rail to Woodlawn when the ACT has a perfectly well operating tip at Mugga Lane with at least 30 years of life left and a dedicated recycling/transfer compound at the intersection of Mugga Lane and Monaro Highway.*

*I have serious concerns about the draft EIS:*

- Living on a upper floor penthouse, as many others are, I am astounded that CALPUFF odour modelling only shows odour predictions at ground level. Higher floors, like mine, or the Kingston towers, are likely to be affected by odours residing in the base of the vented odour plume, yet no modelling is presented for such real life situations. Worse, the effects of odours in the vented plume are completely ignored. How come that there is only a legal requirement to model odours at 1.5 m AGL? What flat earth bureaucrat came up with that splendid idea?*
- Likewise there is no modelling at all for airborne particles, PM10, PM 2.5, PM1 and PM0.1, despite a requirement in the Scoping Document for an Air Quality Study. This serious failing of the EIS is all the more concerning as the powerful venting system of the waste transfer station has no filters at all. Any airborne pollution will be sucked up, vented and spread over the Inner South community. What happens when one of our more enlightened citizens drops an asbestos sheet in his garbage bin? If it does not get detected on the floor of the waste transfer station as it likely will, it will be crushed in the compactors, asbestos fibres will be ejected in the air, sucked up by the venting system and socialized across the Inner South Burghers. Blind Freddy can see the dangers, yet CRS has not even addressed the issue.*
- Approval for a waste transfer station, god forbid, will open the door for an incinerator. It is the original, preferred, business model for CRS. They will jump on the opportunity to add an incinerator despite all their public nay-saying.*
- It makes no sense to transport ACT waste by rail to Woodlawn when there is a perfectly well operating Mugga Lane tip with a methane capture/electricity generating system that is at least equal in efficiency to the Woodlawn system, despite utterings to the contrary in the CRS EIS. The ACT will become dependent on NSW facilities and NSW policies and any adverse development will be used by CRS as a leverage for an incinerator.*
- The ACT should adhere by all means to the principles of a circular economy, the CRS proposal is as linear as the railway line they are relying on. The plan should be dumped on the shunt line, if CRS had not prematurely*

*removed their part of it.*

*This plan is step backwards for the ACT, any sound thinking ACT citizen should dump it in the garbage bin, surely not the recycling bin!*

