

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 25 June 2018 8:45 PM
To: EPD, Customer Services
Subject: Fw: Request from the [REDACTED]

Categories: Green Category

Re: SUBMISSION AGAINST

Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Materials Recovery Facility Fyshwick, Section 8, Blocks 9 & 11
Capital Recycling Solutions
Application Number 201700053

I would like to add my voice in agreement to this email & also have it noted that as I live very close by ([REDACTED] Narrabundah) I feel it will impact not just the Fyshwick area but the surrounding areas as well.

Fyshwick has become so much more than the "industrial" area it was once known for & there are so many other places the government could choose from that would make so much more sense ie; Mugga Lane which is perfect for a waste facility.

I shop in Fyshwick as do most of my friends & not just the ones who live close by. When I informed them of this proposed plan they all reacted in a negative way. Personally I feel that a waste facility would detract from the experience of shopping in Fyshwick & would have a negative impact on businesses in the area.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

To help all businesses in the area please send an email to EPDCustomerServices@act.gov.au
Re: SUBMISSION AGAINST

Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Materials Recovery Facility Fyshwick, Section 8, Blocks 9 & 11
Capital Recycling Solutions
Application Number 201700053

I strongly oppose approval of the materials recovery facility being located on blocks 9 & 11 section 8 Fyshwick.

After giving this matter plenty of thought, I can find no justification for the plant being located on this site.

Fyshwick is a Canberra suburb frequented by so many for consumer purposes – whether it be cars, furniture, whitegoods, flooring, curtains, tools, clothes and auctions the list goes on. Many businesses have invested in Fyshwick because of the Government's support bulky goods retailing. As a result complimentary businesses in Fyshwick include bakers, cafes, accountants, lawyers, architects, car washes and the list goes on. Fyshwick is a destination suburb for many Canberran's and the addition of the central waste facility for all of Canberra's domestic waste would ruin Fyshwick as it is today.

We also note the adverse effect this proposal would have on other developments like Eastlake's urban renewal and the development and brewery at Dairy Flat Road.

Given its proximity to the city and being surrounded by well established inner residential suburbs, it is inevitable that Fyshwick has grown to be one of the few places in Canberra that has embraced diversity as a light industrial, commercial, cultural, entertainment and even residential precinct. That mix gives Fyshwick special appeal.

Why a waste facility would be allowed as its centre piece is beyond comprehension. The central site is totally incompatible with Fyshwick's character and quite contrary to the more civilised plans for its future.

On so many levels (traffic, health risks, vermin, odour, noise, fire risk etc) the location of the waste recovery plant will compromise the prosperity of Fyshwick and seriously detract from its general amenity and that of the surrounding neighbourhood.

The EIS fails to make that clear. For those of us who visit Fyshwick regularly the 230 garbage truck movements into and another 230 out of Fyshwick daily would completely detract from doing business. We already know that the intersections of Canberra Avenue and Geelong Street, Monaro Highway and Newcastle Street and Canberra Avenue and Ipswich Street are extremely busy during business hours. We also note the erroneous traffic report that averages the truck movements from 6am to 12 midnight (15 per hour). We know via the contracts to pick up domestic waste that the garbage trucks cannot pick up from the suburbs until 7am – they then converge to waste facility from 10am to 1pm and then again from 3pm to 5pm...so the majority of movements will be during busy Fyshwick trading hours (25-30 large garbage trucks per hour in and out)

There must surely be other more suitable locations (eg Hume?) where the effects of an operation of the kind proposed would not be as destructive.

For all the benefits which I believe a proposal of this kind would have, I urge you to seriously consider alternative sites that would give both the business model and the future of Fyshwick a better chance of survival.