

The Chief Planning Executive

[EPDCustomerServices@act.gov.au](mailto:EPDCustomerServices@act.gov.au)

Re. Objection to the proposed **Materials recovery facility – Fyshwick**

EIS application number 201700053.

The risks and impacts arising from this proposal are huge, and patently unacceptable, for the businesses and local communities that are within its footprint.

I totally reject the assertions in the EIS, which claim that these risks and impacts (listed in the EIS) are minimal, or able to be mitigated so as to become negligible.

The proposed siting, for an industrial development of this size and type, is totally inappropriate.

This huge development is a 7-day a week operation. It will bring an additional 460 heavy-truck movements into Ipswich St every day. It will bring unacceptable levels of noise and smell. It will bring unacceptable levels of traffic and congestion. It will bring unacceptable risks of catastrophic waste fires and air pollution. To say the least!

The EIS simply 'whitewashes' these risks and impacts! There are residents in Wiluna Street within 100m.

The proposed site – incredibly – is located right in the middle of the Fyshwick retail precinct. While Fyshwick has a few car yards, timber yards, mechanical workshops and some 'light' manufacturing, it is **PRIMARILY A RETAIL PRECINCT** – with livelihoods tied up in hundreds of small and big businesses – including dozens of cafes, food and beverage outlets, antique shops, specialty shops, bike shops, lighting, furniture and furnishings, whitegoods, electrical goods, and clothing stores (to name a few).

The site is directly adjacent to inner south residential suburbs, schools and day care centres.

The impacts will devastate and devalue the Fyshwick retail precinct and local communities.

This proposal in no way reflects community expectations for sustainable development.

I question the whole process of allowing the industry sector to put forward proposals for waste management.

I question the need for this facility (when Mugga landfill and co-generation facilities are secured for the next 30 years).

**This proposal is driven wholly by private commercial interests and private gain – in no way does it meet the public good test.**

For the government to even be considering a proposal of this nature, at the Fyshwick site, reflects poor planning and worst case public policy. There is no social licence for this waste transfer proposal.

CRS must do a proper analysis with comparison of alternative sites and not simply because it owns a block of land on the rail line. There are many alternative locations for a major waste facility such as this proposed. We do not need to rail waste to Woodlawn as Mugga is available for some 30 years and far longer once the FOGO and composting is organised. When this is achieved we don't need red bin waste sorting and there will not be enough waste for the CRS business to be viable without importing waste.

Regards

