

[EPDCustomerServices@act.gov.au](mailto:EPDCustomerServices@act.gov.au)  
To the Director-General of ACT Planning

Dear Sir

Please accept this submission in objection to EIS201700053.

The proposed CRS major waste facility is at odds with future directions for Fyshwick, including in the context of the upcoming development of East Lake planned to house 9,000 people in the area between Kingston Foreshore and the Monaro Highway, only a few hundred metres away.

There is ample justification, through planning and community engagement, that the East Lake proposal for sustainable development is of a sufficient nature to be assessed in the EIS for the Materials Recovery Facility, Fyshwick.

CRS acknowledges the East Lake residential development; however, the proponent does not make a suitable response to the proposed project's impact on the East Lake development:

*A review of the East Lake Urban Renewal area from 2007 shows a potential mixed-use zone across the Monaro Highway (See Figure 20). This is approximately 300m from the proposed facility. Whilst this proposal is possible, there are no firm plans for development of this site from the ACT Government" (EIS page 51).*

This response from the proponent is inadequate. Substantial planning and community consultation has occurred in regard to the East Lake development and as recently as March 2018 updated on the EPSDD website under the following headings;

- *Draft Planning Options for East Lake, 2010*
- *East Lake community consultation report, 2010*
- *East Lake preferred design, 2010*
- *East Lake urban renewal project update 2008*
- *East Lake urban renewal fact sheet 2007*
- *East Lake Draft Planning Report 2007*
- *Report of Community Forum 2010*
- *Consultation Summary 2008*

It is CRS responsibility to contact the SLA in regard to other developments *within the proposal area and broadly in the region.*

**CRS in a revised EIS must be required to assess and account for the East Lake development.**

Sincerely

